

Table S1. Sample number and demographic characteristics in each ethnic groups in each cohort

cohort	CCRLP				Kaiser GERA				CCLS		COG	WTCCC	
population		AFR	EAS	LAT	NLW	AFR	EAS	LAT	NLW	LAT	NLW	NLW	NLW
sample count	case	124	318	1878	1162	-	-	-	-	750	472	1504	-
	control	141	318	2023	1229	1926	4699	6387	56112	504	340	-	2931
	female	106	286	1701	1075	1207	2831	4088	33294	565	321	686	1269
	male	159	350	2200	1316	719	1868	2329	22818	689	491	818	1662
	B-ALL	68	195	1210	652	-	-	-	-	183	111	380	-
	T-ALL	17	32	120	110	-	-	-	-	39	25	65	-
mean age of diagnosis		5.31	4.49	4.85	4.51					5.61	5.70		
(stdev)		(3.41)	(3.31)	(3.54)	(3.30)	-	-	-	-	(3.59)	(3.54)	-	-

AFR: African American; EAS: East Asian American; LAT: Latino American; NLW: non-Latino White American

Table S2. Summary statistics for the previously reported susceptibility variants, the top variant in the loci from our meta-analysis, and the linkage disequilibrium between the two variants in NLW and LAT.

Gene	Reported SNP				Top SNP in this study					r^2	
	Chr	Pos	rsID (reference)	P-value	Chr	Pos	rsID	P-value	NLW	LAT	
<i>C5orf56</i>	5	131765206	rs886285 (ref (1))	0.63	5	131811182	rs11741255	1.69x10 ⁻⁴	0.35	0.19	
<i>BAK1</i>	6	33546930	rs210143 (ref (1))	4.49x10 ⁻⁸	6	33546837	rs210142	4.27 x10 ⁻⁸	1	1	
<i>IKZF1</i>	7	50470604	rs4132601 (ref (2))	1.13x10 ⁻³³	7	50477144	rs10230978	3.92 x10 ⁻³⁴	0.98	0.97	
8q24	8	130156143	rs4617118 (ref (3))	1.04 x10 ⁻¹²			Same				
<i>CDKN2A</i>	9	21970916	rs3731249 (ref (4))	1.29x10 ⁻¹⁸	9	21975319	rs36228834	1.90 x10 ⁻¹⁸	0.99	1	
<i>TLE1</i>	9	83747371	rs76925697 (ref (5))	5.37x10 ⁻²	9	83728588	rs62579826	1.06 x10 ⁻²	0.81	0.98	
<i>GATA3</i>	10	8104208	rs3824662 (ref (6))	4.24x10 ⁻⁹			Same				
<i>PIP4K2A</i>	10	22852948	rs7088318 (ref (7))	6.50x10 ⁻¹⁹	10	22853102	rs7075634	2.42 x10 ⁻¹⁹	0.96	0.97	
<i>BMI1</i>	10	22423302	rs11591377 (ref (8))	8.21x10 ⁻¹⁰	10	22374489	rs1926697	5.24 x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.84	0.88	
<i>ARID5B</i>	10	63723577	rs10821936 (ref (9))	4.78x10 ⁻⁶⁷	10	63721176	rs7090445	7.36 x10 ⁻⁷⁰	0.98	0.99	
<i>LHPP</i>	10	126293309	rs35837782 (ref (10))	6.90x10 ⁻⁴			Same				
<i>ELK3</i>	12	96612762	rs4762284 (ref (10))	2.42x10 ⁻³	12	96645605	rs78405390	4.68 x10 ⁻⁵	0.13	0.22	
<i>CEBPE</i>	14	23589057	rs2239633 (ref (2))	3.0 x10 ⁻¹⁴	14	23589349	rs2239630	2.12 x10 ⁻²¹	0.74	0.78	
<i>IKZF3</i>	17	38066240	rs2290400 (ref (3))	2.09 10 ⁻⁶	17	37957235	rs17607816	1.42 x10 ⁻⁷	0.02	0.22	
<i>IGF2BP1</i>	17	47092076	rs10853104 (ref (5))	2.93x10 ⁻²	17	47217004	rs6504598	4.87 x10 ⁻⁴	0.02	0.02	
<i>ERG</i>	21	39789606	rs8131436 (ref (11))	6.97x10 ⁻⁵	21	39784752	rs55681902	9.36 x10 ⁻⁶	0.62	0.65	

Reported SNP: published lead SNP; Top SNP in this study: top SNP at each locus within 1Mb of the previously reported SNP from our meta-analysis. Note that out of the 16 loci, three (8q24.21, *IKZF3*, and *BMI1*) were initially identified and five (*IKZF1*, *PIP4K2A*, *ARID5B*, *CDKN2A*, *CEBPE*) were previously shown to be replicated using a smaller but largely overlapping subset of this dataset(3,8) . For these loci, our findings here would not necessarily constitute an independent replication. Gene names (gene) are given based on the nearest gene unless the variant is in gene desert. Chromosome (Chr) and position (Pos) are given in hg19 coordinates. r^2 denotes the squared correlation of the reported SNP and our top SNP in NLW from discovery cohort; NLW and LAT denote the non-Latino white and Latino cohorts, respectively.

Table S4. Association statistics of the three novel variants in each population-specific GWAS

SNP	AFR			EAS			LAT			EUR			
	CCRLP case	CCRLP control	GERA control										
	sample size	124	141	1926	318	318	4699	1878	2023	6387	1162	1229	56112
6q23 rs9376090 MYB/HBS1L	MAF (C)	0.043	0.052	0.055	0.115	0.106	0.129	0.114	0.122	0.172	0.170	0.192	0.236
	Rsq	0.740	0.840		0.540	0.570		0.820	0.840		0.750	0.940	
	OR (P-value)	0.661(0.228)			1.04(0.803)			0.893(0.075)			0.684(1.19E-11)		
	HetPVal				0.006								
10q21 rs9415680 NRBF2/JMJD1C	MAF (A)	0.090	0.053	0.060	0.393	0.354	0.322	0.405	0.360	0.249	0.180	0.162	0.149
	Rsq	0.820	0.850		0.940	0.940		0.980	0.970		0.970	0.970	
	OR (P-value)	1.566(0.098)			1.336(0.0012)			1.197(1.79E-05)			1.146(0.0183)		
	HetPVal				0.3741								
10q21 rs10998283 TET1	MAF (A)	0.029	0.024	0.033	0.145	0.165	0.141	0.200	0.166	0.139	0.145	0.124	0.123
	Rsq	0.950	0.940		0.880	0.880		0.980	0.980		0.980	0.980	
	OR (P-value)	0.896(0.788)			1.014(0.916)			1.270(3.22E-06)			1.244(0.0005)		
	HetPVal				0.3567								

AFR: African American; EAS: East Asian American; LAT: Latino American; NLW: non-Latino White; SNP: locus position, rsID and the nearest genes for the lead SNP in each locus; Rsq: estimate of imputation quality from Michigan Imputation Server, computed in CCRLP and GERA cohort separately; MAF: Minor Allele Frequency computed in CCRLP and GERA cohort separately with imputed genotype dosage using PLINK2. The minor allele for each SNP is noted in parentheses; OR, Odds Ratio from logistic regression in each population given with respect to the minor allele; HetPVal: P-value from Cochran's Q-test for heterogeneity for meta-analysis across four populations. Note that all associated SNPs have passed post-imputation filtering to control for batch effect and systematic bias between CCRLP and GERA (Supplementary Information).

Table S7. Summary of conditional analysis to identify secondary associations at known loci.

Gene	Chr	Pos	rsID	Risk allele	OR	P _{conditional}	P _{discovery}	r ²
<i>IKZF1</i>	7	50459043	rs78396808	A	1.632	3.46x10 ⁻²⁶	2.7x10 ⁻¹⁶	*0.06
<i>CDKN2A/B</i>	9	21993964	rs2811711	T	1.355	7.2x10 ⁻¹⁰	1.85x10 ⁻¹¹	0.01
<i>CEBPE</i>	14	23592617	rs60820638	A	1.193	5.38x10 ⁻⁸	0.102	0.16
<i>IZKF3</i>	17	37983492	rs12944882	T	1.204	7.71x10 ⁻¹⁰	2.81x10 ⁻⁷	0.02

For each of the four significant association after conditional analysis, we show the genomic coordinates in hg19, effect size (OR), the P-values with or without conditioning on the lead SNP from the discovery meta-analysis in the locus, and the r² between the lead SNP and secondary association.

Chr, chromosome; Pos, Position in hg19; OR, odds ratio (effect size); P_{conditional}, p-value from the conditional analysis; P_{discovery}, p-value from meta-analysis without conditioning on any SNP; r², squared correlation of the conditioned SNP and the most significantly associated SNP from conditional analysis.

*calculated in Latino population as the variant was filtered out due to low MAF in NLW cohort.

Table S8. Conditional analysis at the CEBPE locus.

rs60820638		rs2239635		rs2239630	
OR	P-value	OR	P-value	OR	P-value
1.051	0.106	<u>1.289</u>	3.06E-18	1.316	2.12E-21
1.193	5.38E-08	1.127	0.002017	*	
*		1.294	3.34E-19	1.394	6.44E-28
1.019	0.5214	*		1.209	7.15E-07
1.019	0.5214	1.027	0.56	*	
*		*		1.353	3.10E-10
1.177	2.47E-05	*		*	

The conditioned SNPs are marked as *. The first row indicates the odds ratio and p-value from the marginal single-variant analysis. Subsequent rows indicate the odds ratio and p-values when one or two variants were included in a conditional multivariate analysis

Table S9. Association of PRS with childhood ALL in replication cohorts

		P-value	Beta	AUC
COG/WTCCC	Known	2.99E-62	1.055	0.681
	All	6.93E-63	0.998	0.684
CCLS NLW	Known	2.22E-17	1.027	0.673
	All	2.03E-17	0.970	0.671
CCLS LAT	Known	4.78E-23	0.944	0.679
	All	5.75E-24	0.912	0.678

P-value, Beta: summary statistics from testing the association between PRS and case-control status in logistic regression; Known: PRS constructed with known loci; All: With known and novel loci reported in current paper.; AUC: Area Under Curve

Table S10. Familial relative risk explained by the most significant SNPs from each risk loci associated with childhood ALL

Gene	SNP	Risk Allele	CCRLP/GERA				CCLS					
			OR		RAF		FRR		OR			
			LAT	NLW	LAT	NLW	LAT	NLW	LAT	NLW		
<i>C5orf56</i>	5:131811182:G:A	G	1.128	0.683	0.582	0.003	0.003	1.207	0.251	0.419	0.006	0.008
<i>MYB/HBS1L*</i>	6:135411228:T:C*	T	1.274	0.831	0.758	0.006	0.008	0.874	0.861	0.772	0.002	0.003
<i>BAK1</i>	6:33546837:T:C	C	1.199	0.713	0.719	0.005	0.005	1.022	0.271	0.286	0.000	0.000
<i>IKZF1</i>	7:50459043:G:A	A	1.425	0.124	NA	0.031	NA	1.415	0.200	0.010	0.020	0.001
<i>IKZF1</i>	7:50477144:G:A	A	1.445	0.260	0.266	0.026	0.026	1.402	0.247	0.263	0.021	0.022
8q24	8:130156143:A:G	G	1.289	0.153	0.174	0.008	0.009	1.325	0.893	0.839	0.005	0.008
<i>CDKN2A</i>	9:21975319:T:A	A	1.879	0.023	0.029	0.014	0.018	2.223	0.021	0.024	0.025	0.027
<i>CDKN2A</i>	9:21993964:T:C	T	1.355	0.883	0.860	0.006	0.008	1.342	0.915	0.854	0.005	0.007
<i>TLE1</i>	9:83728588:C:T	C	1.249	0.965	0.961	0.001	0.001	1.494	0.027	0.037	0.005	0.007
<i>LHPP</i>	10:126293309:A:G	G	1.117	0.558	0.634	0.003	0.002	1.136	0.501	0.371	0.003	0.003
<i>BMI1</i>	10:22374489:G:A	G	1.250	0.767	0.759	0.007	0.007	1.200	0.206	0.246	0.005	0.006
<i>PIP4K2A</i>	10:22853102:T:C	C	1.319	0.686	0.598	0.013	0.015	1.163	0.252	0.395	0.004	0.005
<i>ARID5B</i>	10:63721176:C:T	C	1.642	0.408	0.336	0.052	0.052	1.910	0.581	0.674	0.071	0.058
<i>JMJD1C/NRBF2 *</i>	10:65020890:A:G*	A	1.203	0.271	0.145	0.006	0.004	1.065	0.369	0.146	0.001	0.000
<i>TET1*</i>	10:70329064:G:A*	A	1.232	0.146	0.123	0.005	0.005	1.178	0.176	0.105	0.004	0.002
<i>GATA3</i>	10:8104208:C:A	A	1.209	0.288	0.182	0.007	0.005	1.250	0.383	0.184	0.011	0.007
<i>ELK3</i>	12:96645605:A:C	C	1.228	0.078	0.099	0.003	0.004	1.183	0.952	0.920	0.001	0.002
<i>CEBPE</i>	14:23589349:A:G	A	1.394	0.527	0.461	0.023	0.023	1.292	0.565	0.468	0.013	0.014
<i>CEBPE</i>	14:23592617:A:C*	A	1.193	0.702	0.706	0.005	0.005	1.210	0.701	0.676	0.006	0.006
<i>IKZF3</i>	17:37957235:T:C	C	2.108	0.012	0.020	0.012	0.020	1.526	0.997	0.992	0.000	0.001
<i>IKZF3</i>	17:37983492:T:C*	T	1.204	0.549	0.480	0.007	0.007	1.136	0.603	0.475	0.003	0.003
<i>IGF2BP1</i>	17:47217004:C:G	C	1.113	0.639	0.645	0.002	0.002	1.071	0.620	0.633	0.001	0.001
<i>ERG</i>	21:39784752:T:C	C	1.145	0.288	0.255	0.003	0.003	1.190	0.714	0.736	0.005	0.005
		all					0.250	0.233			0.217	0.198
		novel					0.030	0.029			0.016	0.016
		known					0.220	0.204			0.202	0.182

* denotes novel risk loci and variants from the current study

Gene: nearest gene unless the variant is in gene desert; RAF: risk allele frequency in controls

FRR: The proportion of familial relative risk explained as calculated per Schumacher et al.

Table S11. Heritability estimates using common SNPs (MAF > 0.05) stratified to 4 quartiles of LD score bins with REML or PCGC regression

	REML				PCGC			
	NLW		LAT		NLW		LAT	
	Heritability	SE	Heritability	SE	Heritability	SE	Heritability	SE
group1	0.105	0.026	-0.011	0.018	0.104	0.039	0.042	0.029
group2	0.023	0.023	0.001	0.015	0.021	0.035	0.010	0.028
group3	0.054	0.018	0.021	0.012	0.059	0.026	0.040	0.021
group4	0.021	0.010	0.030	0.008	0.017	0.013	0.019	0.012
sum	0.203	0.032	0.041	0.020	0.202	0.047	0.111	0.036

LAT: Latino American; NLW: non-Latino White

Table S12. Genetic correlation between LAT and NLW

	Variance	SE
V(G)_LAT	0.018	0.003
V(G)_NLW	0.021	0.002
C(G)	0.014	0.002
V(e)_LAT	0.112	0.003
V(e)_NLW	0.064	0.002
V(G)/Vp_L_LAT	0.073	0.013
V(G)/Vp_L_NLW	0.223	0.021
rG	0.714	0.130
n	22710	

LAT, Latino American; NLW, Non-Latino white; V(G), genetic variance; C(G), genetic covariance; V(e), residual variance; V(G)/Vp_L, proportion of variance explained by all common SNPs, transformed to underlying scale based on proportion of cases and disease prevalence; rG, genetic correlation; n, sample size

Table S13. Heritability estimates using two strata of SNP MAF (0.01-0.05, > 0.05), each stratified into 4 quartiles of LD score with REML or PCGC

	REML		PCGC regression	
	Heritability	SE	Heritability	SE
group1_rare	0.075	0.035	0.079	0.052
group1_common	0.092	0.026	0.094	0.039
group2_rare	0.043	0.015	0.022	0.020
group2_common	0.007	0.023	0.012	0.035
group3_rare	0.007	0.008	0.004	0.012
group3_common	0.046	0.018	0.053	0.026
group4_rare	0.010	0.005	0.006	0.006
group4_common	0.017	0.010	0.014	0.013
sum	0.298	0.043	0.285	0.066

References

1. Vijayakrishnan J, Qian M, Studd JB, Yang W, Kinnersley B, Law PJ, et al. Identification of four novel associations for B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia risk. *Nat Commun.* 2019 Nov 25;10(1):5348.
2. Papaemmanuil E, Hosking FJ, Vijayakrishnan J, Price A, Olver B, Sheridan E, et al. Loci on 7p12.2, 10q21.2 and 14q11.2 are associated with risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet.* 2009 Sep;41(9):1006–10.
3. Wiemels JL, Walsh KM, de Smith AJ, Metayer C, Gonseth S, Hansen HM, et al. GWAS in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia reveals novel genetic associations at chromosomes 17q12 and 8q24.21. *Nat Commun.* 2018 Dec;9(1):286.
4. Vijayakrishnan J, Henrion M, Moorman AV, Fiege B, Kumar R, Inacio da Silva Filho M, et al. The 9p21.3 risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia is explained by a rare high-impact variant in CDKN2A. *Sci Rep.* 2015 Dec;5(1):15065.
5. Vijayakrishnan J, Qian M, Studd JB, Yang W, Kinnersley B, Law PJ, et al. Identification of four novel associations for B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia risk. *Nat Commun.* 2019 Dec;10(1):5348.
6. Perez-Andreu V, Roberts KG, Harvey RC, Yang W, Cheng C, Pei D, et al. Inherited GATA3 variants are associated with Ph-like childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and risk of relapse. *Nat Genet.* 2013 Dec;45(12):1494–8.
7. Xu H, Yang W, Perez-Andreu V, Devidas M, Fan Y, Cheng C, et al. Novel susceptibility variants at 10p12.31-12.2 for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in ethnically diverse populations. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2013 May 15;105(10):733–42.
8. de Smith AJ, Walsh KM, Francis SS, Zhang C, Hansen HM, Smirnov I, et al. BMI1enhancer polymorphism underlies chromosome 10p12.31 association with childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: *BMI 1 enhancer polymorphism in ALL*. *Int J Cancer.* 2018 Dec 1;143(11):2647–58.
9. Treviño LR, Yang W, French D, Hunger SP, Carroll WL, Devidas M, et al. Germline genomic variants associated with childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet.* 2009 Sep;41(9):1001–5.
10. Vijayakrishnan J, Kumar R, Henrion MYR, Moorman AV, Rachakonda PS, Hosen I, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies risk loci for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia at 10q26.13 and 12q23.1. *Leukemia.* 2017 Mar;31(3):573–9.
11. de Smith AJ, Walsh KM, Morimoto LM, Francis SS, Hansen HM, Jeon S, et al. Heritable variation at the chromosome 21 gene ERG is associated with acute lymphoblastic leukemia risk in children with and without Down syndrome. *Leukemia.* 2019 Nov;33(11):2746–51.